PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT SUBMISSION

Please read the instructions before completing this form. For additional forms or assistance in completing this form, contact your agency's Paperwork Clearance Officer. Send two copies of this form, the collection instrument to be reviewed, the Supporting Statement, and any additional documentation to: Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Docket Library, Room 10102, 725 17th Street NW Washington, DC 20503.

Agency/Subagency originating request	2. OMB control number b. None
	a. <u>1 6 2 5 - 0 0 7 2</u>
Dept. of Homeland Security/US Coast Guard 3 Type of information collection (check one)	4. Type of review requested (check one)
	a. X Regular submission
a. New collection	b. Emergency - Approval requested by://
b. Revision of a currently approved collection	c. Delegated
c. Extension of a currently approved collection	Small entities. Will this information collection have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities?
d. Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired	rimpact on a substantial number of small entities? Yes X No
e. Reinstatement, with change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired	6. Requested expiration date
f. Existing collection in use without an OMB control number	a. Three years from approval date b. Other Specify: /
For b-f, note item A2 of Supporting Statement instructions	
7. Title	
Waste Management Plans, Refuse Discharge L Persons-in-Charge (PIC)	ogs, and Letters of Instruction for Certain
8. Agency form number(s) (if applicable)	
n/a	
9. Keywords Discharge, Log, MARPOL, PIC, Pollution pre	vention. Refuse. Ship. Vessel
10. Abstract	vention, Reluse, billy, vesser
and maintain a waste management plan; (2) refuse discharge records; and (3) certain the transfer of fuel receive a letter of i	individuals that act as person-in-charge of nstruction, for prevention of pollution.
11. Affected public (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X")	12. Obligation to respond (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X")
a. x Individuals or households d. Farms b. p Business or other for-profit e. x Federal Government	a. Voluntary b. Required to obtain or retain benefits
b. p Business or other for-profit e. x Federal Government c. x Not-for-profit institutions f. State, Local or Tribal Gov't	c. Mandatory
13. Annual reporting and recordkeeping hour burden	14. Annual reporting and recordkeeping cost burden (in thousands of
a. Number of respondents18,266	dollars)
b. Total annual responses 5,508	a. Total annualized capital/startup costs
Percentage of these responses	b. Total annual costs (O&M)
FF 404	c. Total annualized cost requested
5. (5.2)	d. Current OMB inventory
d. Current OMB inventory 59,653 e. Difference - 4,169	e. Difference
f. Explanation of difference	f. Explanation of difference
1. Program change	1. Program change
2. Adjustment 4,169	2. Adjustment
15. Purpose of information collection (Mark primary with "P" and all others that apply with "X")	16. Frequency of recordkeeping or reporting (check all that apply)
a Application for benefits e Program planning or management	a. Recordkeeping b. Third party disclosure
b. x Program evaluation f. Research	c. 🔏 Reporting
c. x General purpose statistics	1. X On occasion 2. Weekly 3. Monthly
d Audit g. <u>p</u> Regulatory or compliance	4. Quarterly 5. Semi-annually 6. Annually 7. Biennially 8. Other (describe)
Statistical methods Does this information collection employ statistical methods?	18. Agency contact (person who can best answer questions regarding the content of this submission)
Yes X No	Name: Mr. David Du Pont
	Phone: (202) 2670971
	Phone: (202) 267-0971

Supporting Statement for

Waste Management Plans, Refuse Discharge Logs, and Letters of Instruction for Certain Persons-in-Charge (PIC)

A. Justification.

1) Circumstances that make the collection of information necessary.

This collection is a combination of the following three approved information requests.

- (a) Waste Management Plans.
- (b) Refuse Discharge Logs.
- (c) Letter of Instruction for Persons-in-Charge (PIC) on Uninspected Vessels.
- (a) and (b) The International Convention for the Prevention of Pollution from Ships, 1973, as modified by the Protocol of 1978 (MARPOL 73/78) and codified in 33 USC §§ 1901-1909 requires that the Secretary of the Department in which the Coast Guard is operating to prescribe regulations for refuse record books and waste management plans. These statutory provisions are addressed in 33 CFR Part 151.55 and 151.57 respectively.
- (c) The letter of instruction's contents should verify the PIC's credentials, stating that the holder has received sufficient formal instruction from the owner, operator, or agent of the vessel, as required by 33 CFR 155.710(e)(2) and 155.715.

This information collection supports the following strategic goals:

Coast Guard

Protection of the Natural Resources

Marine Safety, Security and Environmental Protection Directorate (G-M)

- Human and Natural Environment: Eliminate environmental damage associated with maritime transportation and operations on and around the nation's waterways.
- 2) By whom, how, and for what purpose the information is to be used.
 - (a) Vessel operators of U.S. oceangoing ships, 40 feet or more in length, engaged in commerce or equipped with galleys and berths are required to develop waste management plans. The purpose of a waste management plan is to prevent the discharge of waste, including plastics, into water. These plans are used to determine whether a ship is in compliance with MARPOL 73/78 Annex V.
 - **(b)** Vessel operators of U.S. oceangoing ships of 400 gross tons or more, and U.S. ships involved on international voyages carrying 15 passengers or more, are required to maintain refuse record books. Refuse record books (discharge logs)

are used to document waste discharges from the ships. These are also used to determine whether a ship is in compliance with MARPOL 73/78 Annex V. If noncompliance is indicated, these records may serve as evidence that a ship has violated discharge restrictions.

- (c) To ensure that fuel transfer training standards are complied with, all persons in charge (PICs) on uninspected vessels must carry a Letter of Instruction.
- 3) Consideration of the use of improved information technology.
 - (a) We believe that most waste management plans and related materials can be recorded electronically, but at this time few are maintained in this fashion. This is because the information required is particular to each vessel's operation and configuration.
 - **(b) and (c)** Not applicable. To meet international treaty obligations and national compliance and enforcement requirements, the logs and Letter of Instruction must be maintain and available in written (i.e., non-electronic) format.

We estimate that 60% of all of this collections recordkeeping requirements can be done electronically. At this time, we estimate that 15% are done electronically.

- 4) Efforts to identify duplication. Why similar information cannot be used.
 - (a), (b), and (c) There are no State or local regulations relating to this issue. No similar information collection is conducted by other Federal agencies. Similar information does not exist.
- 5) Methods to minimize the burden to small businesses if involved.
 - (a) Owing to the nature of the industry, recordkeeping requirements for small entities are generally proportionately less. This can be attributed to smaller vessels, simpler transfer systems and waste handling methods, and smaller numbers of people involved. The procedures are in a narrative form and no particular format is specified.
 - **(b)** The Coast Guard believes that these recordkeeping requirements will not adversely affect on a substantial number of small entities because recordkeeping is expected to require six minutes per day for smaller vessels and no particular record book or format is prescribed.
 - (c) To ensure safety on uninspected vessels, all PICs must comply with the letter carriage requirement. The small burden imposed on small businesses cannot be lessened relative to the burden on larger entities.
- 6) Consequences to the Federal program if collection were conducted less frequently.

- (a) Written waste management plans ensure personnel responsible for the handling of ship generated refuse are aware of the garbage pollution regulations and that waste is handled aboard the ship in a consistent manner. If this information were not recorded, vessel personnel would be unfamiliar with waste handling procedures, which might result in the unintentional disposal of garbage in violation of the regulations. This information is a one-time requirement and revised whenever waste handling procedures are modified.
- (b) This information is collected whenever applicable ships discharge garbage. If recordkeeping were required less frequently, the Coast Guard would not be able to use these records as an enforcement tool. Less frequent recordings of disposal/discharge operations would also hinder the Coast Guard's ability to accurately evaluate the level of compliance among ships with MARPOL 73/78 Annex V discharge restrictions. Lastly, if the crew is not held accountable for documenting every disposal/discharge operation, the recordkeeping process will no longer be an effective tool to promote knowledge of discharge regulations and awareness of waste handling practices on the ship.
- **(c)** If information was submitted or recorded less frequently, no assurance could be given that vessels are operating within the applicable requirements that ensure marine safety.
- 7) Explain any special circumstances that would cause the information collection to be conducted in a manner inconsistent with guidelines.
 - (a) Waste management plans must be retained for the life of the vessel, because they are used in day-to-day operations. It is estimated that a vessel will average 5 years before its waste management plan has to be revised.
 - **(b)** There are no special circumstances with this collection.
 - (c) The respondent is required to maintain the Letter of Instruction throughout the term of employment, which may be more than 3 years.
- 8) Consultation.
 - (a), (b), and (c) The information collection requirements were presented for public comment in accordance with the Administrative Procedure Act of 1946.
- 9) Explain any decision to provide any payment or gift to respondents.
 - (a), (b), and (c) No payment or gift of any kind is provided to any respondents.
- 10) Describe any assurance of confidentiality provided to respondents.

- (a), (b), and (c) There are no assurances of confidentiality involved.
- 11) Additional justification for any questions of a sensitive nature.
 - (a), (b), and (c) There are no issues of a sensitive nature involved.
- 12) <u>Estimates of reporting and recordkeeping hour and cost burdens of the collection of information.</u>
 - The total respondents to (a), (b), and (c) are 18,266 [a=16,843; b= subset of (a); c=1,423].
 - The total annual responses to (a), (b), and (c) are 5,508 [a=3,369; b=1,285; c=854].
 - The total annual burden hours requested for (a), (b), and (c) are 55,484 [a=3,591; b=51,750; c=143].
 - The total annual costs for (a), (b), and (c) are \$2,752,117 [a=\$158,922; b=\$2,587,475; c=\$5,720].

(a) Waste Management Plans apply to:

- ✓ Each manned oceangoing U.S. ship of 40 feet (12.2 meters) in length or more that is engaged in commerce and is documented under the laws of the United States or numbered by a State; and
- ✓ Each manned fixed or floating platform subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

According to the Coast Guard's Marine Safety Management System (MSMS), we estimate the number of **respondents** (or total population) to be **16,843**. Table 1 below shows the vessel class distribution of all affected vessels. The frequency of response is not on a set schedule, but whenever a revision is made or when requested by Coast Guard personnel for review during a vessel boarding. The estimated burden on respondents is explained below. The total annual burden is estimated at **3,369 hours**. The total annual cost is estimated at **\$136,606.50**.

Table 1
Vessels Subject to Waste Management Plan Requirement

Type of Vessel/Platform	Oceangoing vessels >= 40 Ft and MODUs
Commercial	1
Fishing Boat	13,699
Tank Ship	122
Freight Ship	313
Industrial Vessels	139

Oil Recovery	131
Offshore Supply Vessels	912
Passenger Vessels	1,268
Public Vessels	12
Recreational Vessels	20
Research Vessels	27
School Vessels	9
Towboat/tugboat	45
Unclassified	18
Manned Fixed or MODU	127
Total	16,843

Annual Burden Hours

- There are 16,843 vessels and platforms that are required to have a waste management plan. The Coast Guard estimates that these plans will be revised on an average of every 5 years (1/5, or 20%, of all plans will be revised annually: 16,843/5 = 3,369). Revisions will take approximately 1/2 hour to draft and 1/2 hour to type per response (1 hour total). A total of 3,369 annual burden hours is required for the 3,369 annual waste management plan revisions (3,369 vessels X 1 hrs/plan).
- There are, on average, 222 new vessels per year that are required to complete a waste management plan for the first time. Completing this plan will take approximately one hour and 15 minutes to draft and one hour and 15 minutes to type per response (2.5 hours total). Therefore, the Coast Guard estimates that a total of 555 annual burden hours for 222 annual waste management plan completions (222 vessels X 2.5 hrs/plan) is required.
- The Coast Guard estimates a requirement of 3,591 total annual burden hours for new waste management plans and revisions of waste management plans.

Annual Cost

- Table 2 provides the details for this calculation. The wages of Managers and Clerical workers are assumed to be the equivalent to a LT and an ENS, respectively. Per Enclosure (2) to COMDTINST 73101.1F the corresponding wages for out of Government are \$50 per hour for a LT (O-3) and \$31 per hour for an ENS (O-1).
- The estimated annual cost to industry to revise waste management plans is \$136,606.50.
- The estimated annual cost to industry to complete new waste management plans is \$22,477.50.
- Table 3 indicates that the total annual cost to complete new waste management plans and revise current waste management plans is \$158,922.00.

Table 2
Annual Cost of Revising Waste Management Plans

Allivai	COSL OF DEVISING WASLE	IAICTII	agement i le	1113	
		(Clerical	Management	
	Response Time	0.5		0.5	
	Hourly Rate	\$	31.00	\$	50.00
Burden Hours	3,369		1,684.50		1,684.50
Revision Cost	\$136,444.50		\$52,219.50		\$84,225

Table 3

Annual Cost of Completing Waste Management Plans

Burden Hours	Response Time Hourly Rate 222	\$ Clerical 1.25 31.00 277.50	\$ nagement 1.25 50.00 277.50
New Plans Cost	\$22,477.50	\$8,602.50	 \$13,875

Total Annual Burden [hrs]

3,591

Total Annual Cost

\$158,922.

(b) Refuse Discharge Logs apply to:

- ✓ Each manned oceangoing U.S. ship of 400 gross tons or more that is engaged in commerce and is documented under the laws of the United States or numbered by a State; and
- ✓ Each manned fixed or floating platform subject to the jurisdiction of the United States.

According to the Coast Guard's Marine Safety Management System (MSMS), we estimate the number of respondents (or total population for this requirement) to be 1,285. Table 4 below identifies the burden of each type of vessel required to meet this requirement. We estimate that the burden per entry will be 5 minutes (0.083 hrs). We also assume that the person in charge of meeting this requirement would earn a salary equivalent to that of a LT for out of government rate of \$50 per hour as noted in Enclosure (2) to COMDTINST 73101.1F. Table 5 shows the total burden and cost of this requirement. The total annual burden is estimated at 51,750 hours (rounding up the 51,749.50 hours from Table 5). The total annual cost is estimated at \$2.587.475.

Table 4: Annual Hour and Cost Burden per Vessel or Platform						
	Number of days in operation	Average Daily Entries	Average annual entries per vessel or platform	Annual hour burden per vessel or platform [5min/entry]		nual cost per el or platform [\$50/hr]
Commercial	330	2	660	55.0	\$	2,750.00
Fishing Boat	330	1	330	27.5	\$	1,375.00
Freight and Tank	330	2	660	55.0	\$	2,750.00
Industrial Vessels	330	1	330	27.5	\$	1,375.00
Oil Recovery	330	1	330	27.5	\$	1,375.00
Offshore Supply Vessels	365	1	365	30.4	\$	1,520.83
Passenger Vessels	330	2	660	55.0	\$	2,750.00
Public Vessels	262	1	262	21.8	\$	1,091.67
Recreational Vessels	200	1	200	16.7	\$	833.33
Research/School Vessels	200	1	200	16.7	\$	833.33
Towboat/Tugboat	262	1	262	21.8	\$	1,091.67
Unclassified Vessels	200	1	200	16.7	\$	833.33
MODU	365	1	365	30.4	\$	1,520.83

Table 5: Annual Hour and Cost Burden for All Vessels or Platforms								
	Oceangoing vessels >= 400 GT	Vessels w/ 15+ passengers, on int'l voyage	Total Vessels or platforms	Annual hour burden [5min/entry]	Total annual cost [\$50/hr]			
Commercial	1		1	55.00	\$	2,750.00		
Fishing Boat	45		45	1,237.50	\$	61,875.00		
Freight and Tank	502	3	505	27,775.00	\$	1,388,750.00		
Industrial Vessels	100		100	2,750.00	\$	137,500.00		
Oil Recovery	36	,	36	990.00	\$	49,500.00		
Offshore Supply Vessels	174		174	5,292.50	\$	264,625.00		
Passenger Vessels	7	90	97	5,335.00	\$	266,750.00		
Public Vessels	76		76	1,659.33	\$	82,966.67		
Recreational Vessels	2		2	33.33	\$	1,666.67		
Research/School Vessels	44		44	733.33	\$	36,666.67		
Towboat/Tugboat	26		26	567.67	\$	28,383.33		
Unclassified Vessels	9		9	150.00	\$	7,500.00		
MODU	170		170	5,170.83	\$	258,541.67		
Total	1192		1285	51,749.50	\$	2,587,475.00		

⁽c) There are approximately 1,423 vessels that are classified as uninspected vessels and are required to have PIC's for transfers. The total effected population is 2,846 (1,423 vessels x 2 PIC's per vessel). Because this collection is only performed once in the employment of the mariner, the actual number of annual

responses is calculated by taking into account the attrition of mariners (turnover rate). The Coast Guard assumes that all affected companies have an annual attrition rate of 30%. Therefore, **854 responses** are expected annually. The Coast Guard also expects that the burden of writing the required letter is 10 minutes (.167 hrs). The annual hour burden for this requirement is **143 hours** (854 letters X .167 hrs per letter), and the cost is **\$5,720** (143 hrs X \$40). We assume that the person writing the letter would be awarded wages equivalent to a LTjg (O-2). Per Enclosure (2) to COMDTINST 73101.1F the out of government wage is \$40.

- 13) Estimates of annualized capital and start-up costs.
 - (a), (b), and (c) Not applicable.
- 14) Estimates of annualized Federal Government costs.
 - (a) Coast Guard personnel review waste management plans during routine vessel boarding. This review takes approximately 9 minutes (0.15 hours) of enlisted time at \$32 per hour (E-7). The Coast Guard conducts approximately 38,000 vessel boardings annually. Therefore, the burden associated with the review of waste management plans is 5,700 hours and \$182,400.

It is estimated that 20% of the 16,843 U.S. oceangoing vessels 40 feet or more in length (3,369) will revise their waste management plans. Coast Guard enlisted personnel will review these revisions, taking approximately 9 minutes (0.15 hours) per revision at \$36 per hour. Therefore, the burden associated with the revision of waste management plans is **505 hours** and **\$18,193.**

- **(b)** There is no estimated cost to the Federal Government, because the records are only reviewed/checked on occasion by U.S. Coast Guard personnel to ensure compliance.
- (c) It will take the COTP approximately 10 minutes (0.167 hours) per vessel to verify compliance with this requirement. There are 1,423 uninspected vessels; therefore, the total annual burden is 237 hours (1,423 vessels x 0.167 hours). The annual cost to the government based on a wage of \$35/hour is \$8,317 (\$35/hour x 237 annual burden hours). The wage of a LTjg (O-2) for in government work was used as prescribed in Enclosure (2) to COMDTINST 73101.1F.
- 15) Explain the reasons for the change in burden.

The following factors affected the changes in burden:

- ✓ Updated population data
- ✓ Updated hourly wage rates for personnel per Enclosure (2) to COMDTINST 73101.1F.

- ✓ A new estimate for the first-time completion of waste management plans, which was not taken into account in previous collections, was made.
- 16) For collections of information whose results are planned to be published for statistical use, outline plans for tabulation, statistical analysis and publication.
 - (a), (b), and (c) No plans exist for the use of statistical analysis or to publish this information.
- 17) Explain the reasons for seeking not to display the expiration date for OMB approval of the information of collection.

Not applicable.

18) Explain each exception to the certification statement.

Not applicable.

B. Collection of Information Employing Statistical Methods.

Not applicable.